

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,633	11/02/2001	Jerry L. McKinney	Clearstrm-8	8356
7:	590 07/10/2003			
C. James Bushman Browning Bushman P.C. Suite 1800			EXAMINER	
			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
5718 Westheimer		•		
Houston, TX 77057-5771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\searrow$				
(	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/003,633	MCKINNEY, JERRY L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Igor Borissov	3629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	N					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 /</u>						
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are pending in the application	) <b>.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :	* *				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		approved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rej						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arrimer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		440( ) ( )				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		<b>3</b> 00				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		-Vandan Mi				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmody (US 2002/0143596).

Carmody teaches a method and system for monitoring, recording and reporting the servicing of private onsite wastewater treatment systems, comprising:

As per claims 1-2, 4-7, 12-14, 17-18, 20-22, 26-31, 34-35, 39-42, 46-47, 49-53, 58-62, 65-68 and 71-72,

automatically monitoring for operational equipment status at each of said plurality of wastewater treatment systems ([0001]; [0066]; [0072]);

automatically notifying said one or more service companies of operational problems detected at each of said plurality of wastewater treatment systems ([0072]; [0091]);

setting up an appointement for service personnel ([0124]);

determining a date and time associated with service conducted by service personnel at each of said plurality of wastewater treatment systems ([0129]; [0130]; [0132]);

Art Unit: 3629

electronically storing inspection data related to scheduled inspections for each of said plurality of wastewater treatment systems ([0115] – [0119]);

generating a website operable for selectively providing said inspection data, said operational data, and said time data to respective computers of said one or more service companies and said regulatory body ([0088] – [0097]);

generating a report for said regulatory body related to compliance with said scheduled inspection and timely repairs for each of said plurality of plurality of wastewater treatment systems based on said inspection data, said operational data, and said time data ([0008] – [0015]; [0069]; [0074]; [0126]; [0131]);

enabling selective generation of said report by said regulatory body utilizing said website ([0069]; [0131]);

providing an inspection schedule for said plurality of wastewater treatment systems that is accessible through said computer network presence by said one or more service companies ([0119] – [0124]).

Carmody does not specifically teach that setting up an appointement for service personnel includes detecting a physical presence of said service personnel.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Carmody to include that setting up an appointement for service personnel includes detecting a physical presence of said service personnel, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Carmody would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with either specifying detection a physical presence of said service personnel, or not.

Art Unit: 3629

As per claims 3, 15-16, 24-25, 32-33, 43-45, 54, 63 and 69-70,

storing responsible party data related to said plurality of owners for said plurality of wastewater treatment systems and utilizing said responsible party data for addressing said notices of noncompliance ([0006] – [0008]; [0015]; [0080]; [0104]).

As per claims 8-9, it is well known in the art to use mechanical switches installed at the equipment, or electronic reader to be activated by a respective identifier carried by a person, to record an employee time or equipment usage. See: Jurca (US 4,949,263) column 5, lines 33-36; Witts et al. (US 4,401,994) Abstract.

As per claims 10-11, 19, 23, 36, 48, 57 and 64, it is common in business to renew a service contract. See: Kahleck et al. (US 5,673,190).

As per claims 37-38, 55-56 and 73-74, Carmody teaches all the limitations of claims 37-38, 55-56 and 73-74, except that the stored data includes a record of when said environmental equipment system starts initial operation for a first time or after a shutdown wherein repairs are made.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Carmody to include that the stored data includes a record of when said environmental equipment system starts initial operation for a first time or after a shutdown wherein repairs are made, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Carmody would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with a record of any information related to said environmental equipment.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 75-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmody in view of Ryan et al. (US 2003/0055669).

As per claims 75-87, Carmody teaches all the limitations of claims 75-87, except for receiving data related to timely servicing of environmental equipment systems by a third party; and reporting from said third party to said regulatory body regarding said received digital data.

Ryan et al. teach a method and system for handling compliance information, wherein a third party collects information relevant to a regulated site, and reports to regulatory/compliance agencies regarding said compliance information (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Carmody to include that a third party collects information relevant to a regulated site, and reports to regulatrory/compliance agencies regarding said compliance information, because it would allow industry users to reduce their paperwork load, while still complying with the regulatory requirements of the involved government agencies, as specifically stated in Ryan et al. ([0005]).

Claims 85-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmody in view of Ryan et al.

As per claims 85-86, Carmody and Ryan et al. teach all the limitations of claims 85-86, except that the stored data includes a record of when said environmental equipment system starts initial operation for a first time or after a shutdown wherein repairs are made.

Art Unit: 3629

14

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Carmody and Ryan et al. to include that the stored data includes a record of when said environmental equipment system starts initial operation for a first time or after a shutdown wherein repairs are made, because it appears that the claimed features do not distinguish the invention over similar features in the prior art, and the teachings of Carmody and Ryan would perform the invention as claimed by the applicant with a record of any information related to said environmental equipment.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Igor Borissov at telephone number (703) 305-4649.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John Weiss, can be reached at (703) 308- 2702.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3629

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

(DB)

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 Page 7